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Abstract—Within the standard scheme for probe-corrected spherical data-processing, it has been found that for an efficient computational implementation it is necessary to restrict the characteristics of the probe pattern such that it contains only azimuthal modes for which \( \mu = \pm 1 \) [1, 2, 3]. This first-order pattern restriction does not however extend to placing a limit on the polar index mode content and therefore leaves the directivity of the probe unconstrained. Clearly, when using this widely utilized approach, errors will be present within the calculated probe-corrected test antenna spherical mode coefficients for cases where the probe is considered to have purely modes for which \( \mu = \pm 1 \) and where the probe actually exhibits higher order mode structure. A number of analysis [4, 5, 6, 7, 8] and simulations [9, 10, 11, 12] can be found documented within the open literature that estimate the effect of using a probe with higher order modes. The following study is a further attempt to develop guidelines for the azimuthal and polar properties of the probe pattern and the measurement configuration that can be utilized to reduce the effect of higher order spherical modes to acceptable levels. Included in this study are the cases when an Open Ended Waveguide (OEWG) is simulated at a series of measurement distances, a Quad Ridged Horn Probe (QRHP) with very large higher order modes is also simulated, the AUT is offset from the origin of the measurement sphere and the AUT is simulated with its main beam along the equator rather than along the pole. These new simulation cases provide additional guidelines when selecting a probe for spherical near-field measurements and answer some questions that have been raised about generalizing past results.

Index Terms—near-field, measurements, near-field probe, spherical, spherical mode analysis, probe pattern, higher order probes, equatorial mode.

1 INTRODUCTION

The details of the existing simulation algorithm have been described in detail in previous publications [9, 10, 11, 12] and will merely be summarized here with the necessary modification to enable the simulation of equatorial mode spherical near-field data being highlighted. The simulation begins by using previously measured spherical near-field data for both the antenna under test (AUT) and a scanning probe to calculate the far-field patterns of both antennas over a full far-field sphere. The AUT far-field pattern is then rotated mathematically about the \( z \)-axis to simulate a \( \phi \)-rotation and about the \( y \)-axis to simulate a \( \theta \)-rotation. The transmitting plane wave spectrum over the forward hemisphere on a \( k \)-space, \((k_x, k_y)\) grid is then derived for each of the rotated AUT patterns. These plane-wave spectra represent the AUT rotated in \( \phi \) and \( \theta \) exactly as it is in a spherical near-field measurement. Whilst this approach is effective for simulating polar mode spherical near-field measurements, a different approach is needed when simulating equatorial measurements where the boresight direction of AUT is assumed to nominally point in the \( \theta = 90^\circ \) equatorial region. Thus, an additional step is required in the simulation software to implement this change in the antenna-to-range alignment. A number of strategies could be adopted however perhaps the simplest is to recognize that the elevation over azimuth \((\alpha, \varepsilon)\) co-ordinate system corresponds to a conventional spherical system when used in equatorial mode [13]. Thus, by specifying the required \((\theta, \phi)\) pattern angles and by mapping these to the corresponding \((\alpha, \varepsilon)\) angles, \( i.e. \alpha = \theta - 90^\circ, \varepsilon = \phi \), the AUT far-field pattern is then rotated mathematically about the \( y \)-axis to simulate an \( \alpha \)-rotation and then about the \( x \)-axis to simulate an \( \varepsilon \)-rotation where the rotations are applied sequentially in that order. This insures that the directions and unit vectors are correctly preserved. Although it is possible to use a far-field antenna pattern from an actual equatorial mode measurement as the basis for the simulation, this technique has the inherent advantage that when comparing results with the existing polar-mode simulations the same AUT patterns can be used with either software with no external additional changes being required. The remainder of the processing is in common with the polar-mode simulation. Thus, as before, the far-field probe pattern is rotated about its \( z \)-axis to simulate the \( \chi \)-rotations of \( 0^\circ \) and \( 90^\circ \) and its receiving plane wave spectrum calculated on the same \( k \)-space grid as the AUT. The calculation of a receiving plane-wave spectrum for the two \( \chi \) rotations of the open ended waveguide (OEWG) probe is repeated but in this case, the spherical modes for \( \mu \neq \pm 1 \) are set to zero in the calculation of its far-field pattern. The two spectra represent respectively a higher order probe and a first order probe with
otherwise identical patterns and polarization. For the remaining steps in the simulation a computer program was developed to use the rotated plane-wave spectra of the AUT and one of the probes to calculate the output of the probe for a specified \( x, y, z \) position of the probe. When \( x = y = 0 \), the probe is at the equator of the measurement sphere and the AUT is positioned at the origin of the sphere or along the \( x \)-axis. Offset positions of the AUT can be simulated by selecting non-zero values for \( x, y \) or \( z \) when calculating the AUT far-field pattern. The \( z \)-position of the probe defines the measurement radius. The probe output is produced using the planar near-field transmission equation,

\[
b'_h(x, y, z, \theta, \phi, \chi) = F'^{\phi, \chi} \int \int_{S_0} \hat{E}_{10}(k, \theta, \phi) \cdot \hat{E}_{02}(k, \chi) e^{i(k_x x + k_y y + k_z z)} dk_x dk_y
\]

Here, \( a_0 \) is the input amplitude and phase to the AUT, \( b'_h(x, y, z, \theta, \phi, \chi) \) is the probe output amplitude and phase for the probe at \( (x, y, z) \) and rotated about the probe \( z \)-axis by the angle \( \chi \). AUT rotated by \( \theta \) and \( \phi \), \( F'^{\phi, \chi} \) is the AUT plane-wave transmitting spectrum rotated by \( \theta \) and \( \phi \), \( \hat{E}_{02}(k, \chi) \) is the probe plane-wave receiving spectrum for \( \chi \) rotation. The rotation angles \( \theta, \phi, \) and \( \chi \) have been added as variables to the probe output, the AUT spectrum and the probe receiving spectrum to show that the planar transmission equation will be used to produce simulated spherical near-field data at arbitrary \( \theta \) and \( \phi \) positions on the measurement sphere with arbitrary \( \chi \) rotations of the probe. The planar transmission equation is used for the simulation rather than the spherical transmission equation since both are equally valid and accurate expressions for the transmission between a test antenna and a probe at any arbitrary near-field position and relative orientation. The planar equation is much easier to calculate numerically and can be used without modification for both first-order and higher-order probes.

II Simulation Details

The AUT for most of the simulations was a high gain \( x \)-band slotted waveguide array and its far-field pattern is shown in Figure 1. A Standard Gain Horn (SGH) was also simulated as the AUT to produce more general results. Two different AUT orientations were also simulated for even more generalization. In the polar orientation, the AUT is mounted with its main beam along or near to the Z-axis of the reference coordinate system. The measured \( \theta \) and \( \phi \) components have nearly equal peak amplitudes and both components must be acquired to produce the main and cross component far-field patterns. In the equatorial orientation, the AUT is mounted with its main beam normal to the Z-axis and for linearly polarized antennas, one near-field component may be very small. This orientation is often used for fan beam antennas. To generalize the simulation as far as possible, the simulated measurement radios was specified as a multiple of the maximum radial extent (MRE) of the AUT thereby allowing generalization of the results to other probe/AUT combinations. In this case the AUT had a conceptual MRE of 18” (0.46 m).

The first series of simulations used the \( TE_{10} \) fed rectangular OEWG as the probe at a number of measurement radii. The amplitudes of the probe’s spherical modes for \( s = 1 \) are shown in Figure 2 and the largest amplitude for the higher order modes occurs at \( m = 3 \) and is only 20 dB below the First Order (FO) mode for \( m = 1 \).

Simulations were produced for the AUT in the polar orientation with the OEWG probe using all its modes and only the FO modes and the spherical near-field and far-field patterns were computed using the standard spherical software that uses only the FO modes in the probe correction. As a check on the complete process, the simulated AUT far-field pattern using all the probe modes was compared to the AUT far-field that was used as the input to the simulation program. The difference between these patterns is an indication of the effect of approximations used in the rotation and interpolation of the simulation process. Figure 3 shows one example of this comparison and the RMS ERR/SIG level of -54 dB verifies...
that it is very accurate. This difference does not indicate the lower limit of the simulations ability to detect the effect of the higher order modes in the probe correction process. Both of the far-field patterns compared in that process are produced from simulated data and have the same approximations due to numerical processing which cancel out when compared. The following results will illustrate that reliable higher order mode effects at levels of -80 to -90 dB below the peak far-field are possible.

Simulations with differences between the higher order and FO OEWG probe were produced for measurement distances of 1, 2, 3 and 4 MRE and the difference graphs are shown for the minimum and maximum distances in Figures 5 and 6.

Simulations were also carried out using a quad ridged horn probe that is representative of a broad band probe that might be used to increase the measurement speed by switching between the two polarizations and allowing measurements over multiple bands. These probes can improve the measurement speed, but their higher order modes are also larger than the OEWG. It is desirable to quantify the errors caused by these higher order modes and steps that can be taken to minimize them. The E and H-Plane patterns for the probe and the spherical mode amplitudes are shown in Figures 7 and 8.
The far-field error/far-field peak graphics similar to Figures 5 and 6 were produced for the horn probe and they had the same general character as the OEWG probe results. The error peak and the angular region where the error signal was greater than -65 dB were slightly larger. These features are summarized and compared to the OEWG results in Figures 9 and 10 where the peak far-field error and directivity error are plotted as a function of the simulated measurement radius.

Simulations were also performed for the AUT offset from the center of the measurement sphere and for the AUT in the equatorial orientation and these results will be covered in more detail in the presentation. These show that the effect of the higher order modes is not significantly increased by these different antenna configurations.

In near-field measurements where gain of the AUT is required, a pyramidal horn gain standard is often used as the reference and spherical measurements are also performed on the gain standard. This arrangement was also simulated by using the far-field pattern of the gain standard in place of the slotted array. These results are summarized in Figures 11 and 12.
The higher order modes of the quad ridged horn do produce significant errors at radii close to the MRE of the SGH, however by increasing the measurement distance sufficiently, the effect can be reduced to acceptable levels.

III SUMMAR Y AND CONCLUSIONS

A powerful, efficient and reliable simulation process has been further developed and demonstrated for a variety of typical spherical near-field measurement configurations. This simulation tool can be used to predict the effect of higher order modes for any proposed or completed combination of AUT, probe AUT orientation and measurement radius. The error in the far-field results due to the higher order modes of the probe can be determined and used to select a probe to improve measurement efficiency and reduce the cost and complexity of the probe while increasing its bandwidth.

The simulations have also confirmed and extended the previous simulation results. When using an OEWG probe, the effect of the higher order modes is limited to the main beam region of the AUT and even at the minimum measurement radius, the error signal level is near -40 dB and can be reduced to below -50 dB by using moderate measurement distances. Other measurement errors will typically be larger. The same error levels can be achieved with a broad band probe by using the simulation to determine the required measurement distance.
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